

## Summary of Candidate Election Expenses June 9, 2009 Provincial General Election Colchester North: Arthur Hartlen (NDP) Official Agent: David Stevenson Date Filed: August 18, 2009

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement 1	
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 1,030.05	\$ 1,030.05	
Worker Remuneration	4,467.84	4,467.84	
Publicity / Advertising	9,989.07	9,989.07	
Travel	1,110.44	1,110.44	
Campaign Functions	1,716.90	1,716.90	
Other	52.34	52.34	
Personal Expenses of Candidate	302.03	37.01	
Subtotal	\$ 18,668.67	\$ 18,403.65	
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00	
Total	\$ 18,668.67	\$ 18,403.65	

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.

1 Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.



## Summary of Candidate Election Expenses June 9, 2009 Provincial General Election Colchester North: Lorenda Ebbett (NSLP) Official Agent: Stephen Douglas Date Filed: August 21, 2009

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 2,207.27	\$ 2,185.28
Worker Remuneration	rker Remuneration 2,594.56	
Publicity / Advertising	18,063.79	18,005.03
Travel	0.00	0.00
Campaign Functions	77.52	77.52
Other	0.00	0.00
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
Subtotal	\$ 22,943.14	\$ 22,862.39
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
Total	\$ 22,943.14	\$ 22,862.39

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.



## Summary of Candidate Election Expenses June 9, 2009 Provincial General Election Colchester North: Judy Davis (GPNS) Official Agent: Kathryn Herbert Date Filed: August 14, 2009

waximum Reimbursement (public funding). \$ 10,000.00				
Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent			
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 0.00			
Worker Remuneration	0.00			
Publicity / Advertising	0.00			
Travel	0.00			
Campaign Functions	0.00			
Other	0.00			
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00			
Subtotal	\$ 0.00			
Disputed Claims	0.00			
Total	\$ 0.00			



## Summary of Candidate Election Expenses June 9, 2009 Provincial General Election Colchester North: Karen Casey (PC) Official Agent: Myles Johnson Date Filed: August 17, 2009

Expense Category	Filed by Official Agent	Election Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement 1
Headquarter Expenses	\$ 7,273.51	\$7,242.12
Worker Remuneration	9,947.50	9,807.17
Publicity / Advertising	31,521.81	31,437.06
Travel	2,035.00	1,131.00
Campaign Functions	375.00	375.00
Other	3,390.39	3,390.39
Personal Expenses of Candidate	0.00	0.00
Subtotal	\$ 54,543.21	\$53,382.74
Disputed Claims	0.00	0.00
Total	\$ 54,543.21	\$53,382.74

<sup>1</sup> Under ss. 180(1) and 183(1) of the *Elections Act* the Chief Electoral Officer must be satisfied that all expenses reported by the official agent of a candidate are accurate and meet the definition of election expenses. The detailed review conducted by the Chief Electoral Officer may result in adjustments for a number of reasons including omission of expenses that must be reported as election expenses and inclusion of expenses that do not meet the definition of election expenses.